1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We are here for the purpose of a sentencing hearing. 3 Will counsel please identify themselves for the 4 record? 5 MR. AKE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Adam Ake and 6 7 Robert Hur for the United States. THE COURT: Thank you. Welcome. 8 MR. ABBENANTE: Good afternoon, your Honor. 9 Abbenante on behalf of Ms. Ondrik and she is here --10 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Welcome. MR. ABBENANTE: -- as is her husband. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Feel free to be seated. 14 15 Give me just a moment, please. (Pause) 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 Any modifications or corrections to the pre-sentence 19 report? MR. ABBENANTE: Just a couple, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. ABBENANTE: They, they're not material, I would 22 argue, but I think they need to be corrected. 23 24 If your Honor takes a look at Page 11 of the presentence report and under the Assets, 2008 Nissan Quest -- and 25

```
I discussed this with Mr. Mebane after I had read the report,
 1
    but he said it had already been sent in to the Court -- they
 2
    owe $10,000 on that loan for that car.
 3
             So they're in the --- it's a negative as far as the
 4
    car, which I quess would change, ultimately, what the net cash
 5
    flow, the monthly cash flow would be, but I just wanted to
 6
 7
    point that out to the Court.
             Also, there's a reference here with regard to the
 8
    traffic records, Paragraph No. 40 on Page 7 of the report, and
 9
    I know that your Honor handles a lot of traffic-related
10
11
    offenses in this case. You probably already know this, but in
    Virginia if you have a plus on your, on your record that means
12
13
    that that's good driving. It's not like for any violation and
    Mr. Mebane didn't know that and I pointed that out to him.
14
15
             THE COURT: The only question I had about that
    paragraph was I thought that she didn't have a license in
16
17
    Virginia. So it was some question as to how she could get
18
    positive points, which --
19
```

MR. ABBENANTE: Well, she -- previously she did --

THE COURT: She did there.

MR. ABBENANTE: -- years ago.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. That explains it.

MR. ABBENANTE: Okay. And so that's why that's reflected in that, in that way.

THE COURT: Very well.

```
MR. ABBENANTE: And as a preliminary matter, your
 1
    Honor, I just want, I'd like to just ask the Court a question.
 2
             For some reason, I was, when I entered my appearance
 3
    in this case as counsel, for some reason I wasn't getting the
 4
    ECF notifications. And Mr. Mebane had forwarded to me the pre-
 5
    sentence report. But more importantly, I understand that the
 6
 7
    Government had filed a motion in this case with regard to the
    matters that were placed, that were filed under seal and that,
 8
    eventually, your Honor granted that motion.
 9
             I would just ask the Court whether or not your Honor
10
11
    reviewed those materials.
             THE COURT: I did.
12
             MR. ABBENANTE: All right.
13
             THE COURT: But I have deliberately divorced my
14
15
    knowledge of that as best I can.
16
             MR. ABBENANTE: Well, your Honor --
17
             THE COURT: That is, I am not using anything in those
18
    reports for the Government's purposes, whatever that purpose
19
    may be. I just view it as a misfiled, shouldn't have been
    filed, or for whatever reason the Government elected not to
20
    file or to pursue whatever is set forth therein.
21
             So that is not fair game for the Government to rely
22
23
    upon, or this court to rely upon.
             MR. ABBENANTE: Well, I understand that the, that the
24
```

Court, I mean, that the Government has not attempted to rely on

25

- 1 because, first thing, they contained information that wasn't
- 2 part of the agreement. Much, most of that information is
- 3 disputed and it came from a source which, you know, we, we
- 4 have --
- In any event, when your Honor says that your Honor has
- 6 | done the best you can to divorce yourself from that, are you
- 7 | saying to me that -- are you --
- 8 THE COURT: I feel very comfortable.
- 9 MR. ABBENANTE: -- comfortable?
- 10 THE COURT: I am not using that for any purpose.
- MR. ABBENANTE: All right. Very well, your Honor.
- 12 | The way I had planned to preface my remarks, I wasn't
- 13 going to assume that the Court was going to go along with our
- 14 agreement because you made that very clear when you accepted
- 15 | these pleas and I know full well after doing this for a long
- 16 | time that the Court is free to do what they think is
- 17 | appropriate under the circumstances and obviously, the sentence
- 18 | that you imposed on Mr. Yamatani is, in fact, within the
- 19 | quidelines that are set forth in the pre-sentence report, which
- 20 | we agreed to. However, with all due respect to the Court, with
- 21 respect to Mr. Yamatani's sentence -- and I know that the Court
- 22 takes every case separately and in separate consideration and I
- 23 | don't know all of the factors that were presented in the pre-
- 24 | sentence report with regard to Mr. Yamatani and I don't know
- 25 | all of the family issues that were presented in the report with

regard to Mr., Mr. Yamatani -- but in terms of trying to 1 distinguish what the difference might be in, in regard to the 2 case, Ms. Ondrik has never shied away from the fact that she 3 committed this -- this -- these acts in, in this case, okay? 4 She admitted full responsibility for it. And when your Honor 5 asked Mr. Yamatani why he did it, you know, my first --6 THE COURT: Let me slow you down. Don't go too fast 7 for me. 8 MR. ABBENANTE: 9 Okay. THE COURT: I'm trying to keep --10 11 I got the impression that Ms. Ondrik also is viewed to have been obstructing justice and if what you're telling me is 12 13 that she, when confronted or when this thing first came to light, she said --14 15 MR. ABBENANTE: No. No, I didn't mean that. THE COURT: Okay. 16 17 MR. ABBENANTE: I mean when -- when she -- she's fully 18 accepted reasonability. 19 THE COURT: Yes. MR. ABBENANTE: What -- how this whole thing started, 20 I mean, the genesis of whether, you know -- we're not arguing 21 that it's -- it was -- it was -- there's, this is an excuse or 22 23 anything -- but, you know, they, they picked up, they moved to the Washington, D.C. area. There's some argument to be made, 24 25 at least initially on Ms. Ondrik's part, that, you know, she

was going to be entitled to certain monies. That came to 1 not -- that came not to pass and then they tried to submit 2 vouchers in order to get the money that they thought that they 3 were entitled to. At some -- at a point during this process 4 they, they recognized that that, that wasn't right and then it, 5 they continued to do so and continued to pursue --6 7 THE COURT: Let me catch up with you there only because I thought that the predicate offense was grounded on 8 the notion that she came here house hunting, but never house 9 hunted. She came here charging the Government for expenses, 10 11 but expenses that were not incurred in relationship to that. MR. ABBENANTE: Part of it was. 12 THE COURT: I get the point about maybe "I'm entitled 13 to some things that are legitimate expenses and the Government 14 15 may disagree." That very well may not be criminal. We all 16 have issues like that. 17 MR. ABBENANTE: Right. 18 THE COURT: But I got -- I thought that the plea was, "I never even did the house hunting. I never even did the 19 travel that the Government alleged." So --20 MR. ABBENANTE: You're right. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. ABBENANTE: I mean, I was -- I'm, I'm not trying -- I'm saying there's, there's parts of this. I'm 24

25

trying to --

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. ABBENANTE: -- put it all in perspective, okay?

And as far as the punishment in this case is

4 | concerned, we, Ms., Ms. Ondrik, as part of the plea, obviously,

5 agreed to pay the restitution, also agreed to a fine in this

6 case, which is in excess of the fine recommended under the

7 | guidelines. It was \$28,000 and that was agreed to. And that,

8 again, I think, is punishment.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But with respect to Ms. Ondrik's particular situation, unlike -- what I believe to be the situation with Ms. Ondrik, she is the primary caretaker for two children. Both -- I think one was 5 and one is 7. They don't have any family in this area and her husband, who's also in law enforcement, is working double shifts to try to keep the family afloat. There will be nobody able to care for her two children under these, if your Honor incarcerates her. There's no family around here to do it and they can't afford daycare and unlike the situation with Mr. Yamatani, I mean, obviously, his wife is available. I don't know what financial arrangements they can make to limit her work, but the mother will be there for the children. your Honor incarcerates Ms. Ondrik, there's nobody there for her children. There's nobody there to care for them and her husband is just either going to have to quit his job to take care of them fulltime. Because I don't see any other alternative at this point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so that circumstance, I think, is very different from most individuals that would appear under -- in the -- before the Court under these circumstances.

And essentially, I don't know what else to say about it other than that. Because there -- this is Ms. Ondrik's fault. She did it. She did it knowingly. She's lost her career. She's done all those -- she suffered all the same consequences that Mr. Levin brought to your attention with regard to Mr. Yamatani, but the difference here is the factors that I think the Court needs to consider in, in imposing a sentence of incarceration in this case and those are the family, the family situation as it exists before the Court and which is, basically, undisputed, that she is the sole caretaker of these two children. She has been doing so while she's been unemployed. Before she was unemployed there were other arrangements 'cause they could afford that. They can't afford that now. Her husband cannot afford that and pay all the bills that are required to keep his children going and keep his family intact.

And with all due respect to the Court, an eight month

-- if your Honor was to consider the same sentence for

Ms. Ondrik, that would be absolutely devastating to this

family, I don't know how they'll survive that, and I would urge

the Court to consider an alternative, the alternative that was

recommended by Probation and recommended by the United States

in this case under these circumstances.

2 THE COURT: Thank you.

3 I'll hear from the Government.

MR. AKE: Your Honor, I can tell that the Court is fully apprised of the, the 3553 factors in terms of the nature and seriousness of the offense. So I won't dwell on that.

The Government is making the recommendation it agreed to make and, that the defendant be sentenced to a sentence of probation of two years, again with the caveat that so long as the Court is making the financial aspects of the judgment payable immediately, are recommending that six months home detention be the first portion of that probation sentence, and that the Court order restitution in the amount of \$14,000, a fine of \$28,000, and a special assessment of \$25.

Again, the Court -- I explained to the Court in Mr. Yamatani's case why the Government feels this is sufficient but not greater than necessary to address the conduct involved as well as to deter others that might be similarly situated. The Government does maintain that this is a serious offense due to the fact of the defendant's position as a special agent and responsibility for investigating and prosecuting, or attempting or bringing to prosecution the types of crimes that she engaged in during 2009 and 2010 and, and what followed in terms of the conduct.

But, but the Government does feel that the, the

probation with a period of home, a six-month period of home 1 detention is sufficient and not greater than necessary to 2 address that. 3 Thank you, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 Ms. Ondrik, before I impose the disposition, you have 6 7 the right to say anything you wish to say, but before doing so I'm going to ask you to stand and raise your right hand. We'll 8 9 place you under oath. (Defendant Ondrik sworn) 10 11 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Will you please state your full name for the record? 12 DEFENDANT ONDRIK: Rachel Ondrik, Rachel Garrison 13 Ondrik. 14 15 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, ma'am. THE COURT: And you have to keep your voice up. 16 17 Feel free to be seated and just slide the microphone 18 over toward you. 19 What, if anything, would you like to say? 20 DEFENDANT ONDRIK: Your Honor, I've, I've made a horribly grave error in, in judgment and would like to 21 apologize to the, to the Court, to the Federal Government, and, 22 23 and to my family. I've learned a very, very hard lesson and I've made many mistakes and I will never place myself or my 24

25

loved ones in this position again.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Slide the microphone closer.

3 DEFENDANT ONDRIK: I'm sorry.

4 THE COURT: That's fine.

DEFENDANT ONDRIK: I'm sorry.

As a result of my actions, I've lost my job, my federal retirement, caused a great deal of embarrassment and emotional turmoil and financial hardship for myself and my family. I've done untold damage to my future and my career and my prospects for future work and placed myself in a position where I no longer have any hope of working in the field of law enforcement ever again. I can't believe I made such a stupid decision that will so greatly affect me and my loved ones for the rest of our lives.

In addition, as a result of my actions and subsequent job loss, my husband has been forced to work a great deal of overtime to meet our financial responsibilities. In addition to regularly working the night shift, he has been picking up every overtime shift he can in addition to his work on the night shift on the SWAT team and as a firearms instructor. This is an exhausting and unfair burden to him and has placed my children in a situation where they have little quality time with their father.

I'm sorry for the effect that my actions have had on my husband and my children and I apologize to the Court and the

```
Federal Government. My actions have greatly affected my
 1
    children. They can feel the stress in our home, already.
 2
                                                                They
    miss their father greatly. I'm deeply ashamed of myself and
 3
    I'm sorry I chose a path that led to such disastrous results.
 4
             THE COURT: Thank you.
 5
             Counsel, does the husband wish to be heard?
 6
 7
             Welcome, sir. Why don't you come to the podium here.
        (Mr. Ondrik complies)
 8
             THE COURT: Why don't you give us your name for the
 9
10
    record.
11
             MR. ONDRIK: My name is Brian Ondrik.
             Excuse me, your Honor. I'm just a little emotional
12
13
    right now.
             This whole situation has placed an undue, a great
14
15
    amount of stress on our relationship and our family and it
16
    would just -- it would just -- I don't know what, what the
17
    profound effect it would have if you would incarcerate my wife
    today on me or our children. It's just -- my whole situation
18
19
    is just -- sorry, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Take your time. It's not a problem.
20
             MR. ONDRIK: I mean, she realizes the mistakes she has
21
           I feel she is sorry for them and she wants nothing
22
23
    better than to make, make it right, put this behind us, and
    just, you know, start putting our lives back together.
24
```

Again, it'll just -- incarceration on this would be

25

just unfound. I mean, in my eyes, I've -- I just -- I just need my wife at home, sir, your Honor.

That's all I have to say.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

(Pause)

THE COURT: To say that I've read and reread the materials that have been provided in this case more than once is an understatement. This is tragic, one of the most tragic things that we often see. I've listened carefully to your statement, your prepared remarks, as well as those of Mr. Yamatani's. I think they're genuine. I do.

And if there's a person in the courtroom who cannot feel the pain that your husband feels at this hour, that person doesn't have a heart. You've spoken about the difficulties he's facing and quite frankly, the toughest job in the courtroom over the last 15, 20 minutes has been that of your counsel. 'Cause like the man who sat in that seat before him, he's dealing with the unexpected. Done a good job and I don't mean that just to be nice.

One of the responsibilities of the court is to make sure that a sentence that is imposed is individually crafted and certainly, the cloud in this room has been the eight months that has just been handed out. That's the, the 600-pound gorilla. That is the boulder being pushed uphill and I have to look at each case differently. Even though the crime may be

the same, the people involved may be different. And while I --what I'm applauding your counsel on is his abilities and attempts to distinguish your circumstance from Mr. Yamatani's. Same crime, same nearly \$40,000 in play that was attempted to be obtained from the Government. I assume that the Government only put \$14,000 to your account as actually obtained or something because that's the amount of restitution that's been agreed upon. It's not for me to look behind that, either.

And the hat that counsel rightfully hangs on the wall, or the peg that he rightfully tries to hang his hat on is the impact upon your family. Mr. Yamatani had an impact with his family. Your husband's working double shifts. He, too, is involved in law enforcement. Both families are facing unimaginable changes where incarceration is involved. For anyone who sits up here in a black dress who claims to know it all, that's a bad statement. It's wrong, in error. Small children involved.

The court is required to be no respecter of persons, whether rich, poor, old, or young, white collar, blue collar, with children, without children. Fair to argue that the court should be concerned about some of the collateral consequences impact upon and effect on a family affair and while I heard you indicate that you were embarrassed or disappointed in yourself because you made this one decision that was so horrible, I would be remiss in not saying that this was not one mistake.

- 1 This was not one decision. This was a decision and another
- 2 decision and another decision reduced to writing. I don't
- 3 know, but probably under an oath if I know the Federal
- 4 Government enough, compounded by insistence, persistence in
- 5 that belief even when faced with the knowledge that others know
- 6 the truth.
- 7 You are right to have differences of opinion as to
- 8 | what the right outcome here is. That's, that's what we do.
- 9 | And I guess I could sum it up by saying if your offense
- 10 justified four strikes at the law (hits hand on bench four
- 11 | times), that would be judgment. If somebody decided not to
- 12 | give you four, but (hits hand on bench three times), you might
- 13 | call that mercy. And still another one may decide to give you
- 14 less than that and nothing at all. That would be grace.
- Grace is not in play here. Justice is very much in
- 16 | play and we can disagree as to what is the most appropriate
- 17 | remedy. We've got some help with that in terms of the
- 18 | sentencing guidelines. Everyone has rightfully made arguments
- 19 about it and as my judicial colleagues have whined about for
- 20 years, we hate guidelines, at least in their old form because
- 21 | it required, it required the court to do something of a certain
- 22 degree. The Supreme Court eventually made it far more advisory
- 23 and, therefore, I think that the right balance has been struck,
- 24 that is, we need some kind of a national standard as to what an
- 25 appropriate sentence should be, but give the Judges discretion

- to look at each case individually and to mete out punishment,
 restitution, whatever.
- I have sent many an elderly person to jail, 90 and

 older, and I've sent many a single parent to jail knowing full

 well that children may be going to live with grandparents or

 going to live with someone in protective, or Child, Child

 Services, or something, but on this record I do not find a

 material difference between your conduct and your circumstances
- So your sentence is eight months, Bureau of Prisons.

 Restitution is required of \$14,000, a fine is imposed of

 \$28,000, \$25 special assessment, and one year of supervised

 release.
 - My condolences to your husband and I know you'll get out and y'all will make a go of it. This is not meant to be a sentence upon him or your children, but you're in the custody of the U. S. Marshal.
 - MR. ABBENANTE: Your Honor, may I just address the Court with regard to -- again, I, I think that the circumstances here with regard to even voluntary surrender are different with regard to Ms. Ondrik.
- First, as your Honor knows, she is a law enforcement
 officer and I don't know where --
- 24 THE COURT: Was.

compared to Mr. Yamatani's.

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25 MR. ABBENANTE: Was a law enforcement officer -- and I

don't know where she will be placed within the, the local
detention centers -- but I would urge the Court to allow the
Bureau of Prisons to designate a federal facility for her where
at least we can arrange that there be some sort of protective
custody for her, No. 1.

But, No. 2, if your Honor will at least allow a brief period for her to self-surrender. If your, if your Honor is not willing to let her self-surrender until the Bureau of Prisons designates, at least a brief period of time so that she can, her and her husband can try to make some arrangements for her children. Because like tomorrow, her husband has to be at work and everything is still in place, but he, there's no one to take care of the children and I would just urge the Court to at least allow her a week, if your Honor is not willing to allow her to voluntarily surrender when the Bureau of Prisons designates, at least allow her a week so that she can try to make some arrangements either with her brother or her father or somebody to come in here and pitch in. Because there is no one here to help her husband and we can't get anybody here overnight to do it.

THE COURT: Your request is reasonable, but your request is likewise denied.

I will sign any order, if you like, with respect to her being in protective custody until the U. S. Marshals

Service can do better and I will make that oral request to them

```
now through the Court Security Officers that are here.
 1
 2
             Thank you.
             MR. ABBENANTE: Very well, your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
 4
             Mr. Levin?
 5
 6
             MR. LEVIN:
                         Could you -- your Honor, if I may?
 7
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
             MR. LEVIN:
                         Could you make the same oral request --
 8
             THE COURT:
 9
                         Yes.
             MR. LEVIN: -- on behalf of Mr. Yamatani?
10
11
             THE COURT: Yes.
                                I am specifically requesting -- and
    I see we've got a Marshal here as well -- that these defendants
12
13
    be in protective custody until the U. S. Marshals Service and
    the Bureau of Prisons makes an official determination of the
14
15
    proper placement.
             MR. ABBENANTE: Well, your Honor, can you also direct
16
17
    orally or on the record that the Bureau of Prisons try to
18
    expedite the designation?
19
             THE COURT: I will do that.
20
             MR. ABBENANTE: And recommend Cumberland or any
    facility close to this jurisdiction.
21
             THE COURT: I will make that recommendation.
22
                                                            I will
23
    tell you, however, that the Bureau of Prisons for some reason
    oftentimes does things their own way.
24
```

MR. ABBENANTE: I understand.

```
1
             THE COURT: Fair enough.
             Thank you.
 2
 3
             Yes, sir?
 4
             MR. AKE: Your Honor, I just ask the Court -- and I'm
    not sure if this makes any, creates any problem for
 5
 6
    Mr. Yamatani -- but if you could advise the defendant of her
 7
    appellate rights.
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 8
             MR. AKE: If you could advise the defendant --
 9
             THE COURT: Yes.
10
11
             MR. AKE: -- of her rights.
12
             THE COURT: They both have the right to an appeal
13
    within 14 days and it must be filed in the clerk's office here.
14
             Thank you.
15
             We'll be in recess.
16
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
         (Proceedings concluded at 3:48 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE I, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Janice Russell June 25, 2013 Janice Russell, Transcriber Date